

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,969	09/30/2003	David M. Callaghan	03AB002/ALBRP323US 4944		
7590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER			
Susan M. Donahue			NGUYEN, TAI T		
Rockwell Autor					
704-P, IP Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1201 South 2nd Street			2632		
Milwaukee, Wi	53204		DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/3 CL		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/674,969	CALLAGHAN, DAVI	D M.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tai T. Nguyen	2632			
	he MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status						
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 31 (October 2005				
· <u></u>		s action is non-final.				
<u> </u>	nce this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the n	nerits is		
clo	osed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	aim(s) 1 and 3-9 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1, 3-9 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application	Papers					
9)[Th	e specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)[] Th	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	plicant may not request that any objection to the	* * *	• •			
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		=	• •		
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	: Action or form P1O	-152.		
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)□ <i>i</i> 1.[2.[3.[knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureathe attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age		
Attachment(s)						
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 (s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		52)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/674,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strom et al. (US 4,642,607).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Strom et al. disclose a system for controller configuration and programming (figure 1) comprising:

a controller (44) connected to a power line (figure 1);

a configuration devices (20, 24, figure 1) connected to the power line, wherein the configuration devices communicate with the controller over the first and second power lines to enable remote controlled configuration and programming via interface (40, col. 3, lines 37-49). Strom et al. disclose everything claimed except for the explicit disclosure of the remote configuration and programming. Since Strom et al. disclose the configuration device having a computer interface module (40), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the configuration device could be at a remote location.

Regarding claim 3, Strom et al. disclose the interface contains a unique identifier and/or hex address (col. 4, lines 49-66), it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2632

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the hex address could be considered as a serial number.

Regarding claim 4, Strom et al. disclose the interface being contained within the controller (col. 3, lines 15-29).

Regarding claim 5, Strom et al. disclose the modules using binary control. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the control would interface as a PLC (col. 3, lines 15-29) in order to provide digital communication with the configuration device.

Regarding claim 7, Strom et al. disclose the configuration device configuring the at least one controller via download/exchange data (col. 3, lines37-49).

Regarding claims 8-9, Strom et al. disclose everything claimed except for the explicit showing of the downloaded/exchanged data being a software program/webpage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a software program/webpage for the downloaded/exchanged data for the purpose of implementing system wide instructions.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tai T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2632